



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000



DEFENSE LOGISTICS
STANDARD SYSTEMS OFFICE
CAMERON STATION
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304-6100
DLSSO-B

0 3 NOV 1987

SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-1

TO: Commander, U.S. Army Materiel Command
ATTN: AMCSM-PDP
Commander, Naval Supply Systems Command
ATTN: SUP 0323
Commander, Air Force Logistics Command
ATTN: AFLC/MMLSC
Commandant of the Marine Corps
ATTN: LLS-4
Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard
ATTN: G-FLP-1
General Services Administration, Federal Supply Service
ATTN: FCI, Room 500
Commander, Field Command, Defense Nuclear Agency
ATTN: FCLMM
Director, National Security Agency
ATTN: L 1111
Executive Director, Supply Operations, DLA
ATTN: DLA-OS
U.S. Transportation Command, Director of Deployment
ATTN: TCJD-SA

1. The enclosed Memorandum for Record is forwarded for your information.
2. The action officer for this subject is Mr. Philip M. Smith, AUTOVON 284-6062.

1 Encl

cc:
DASD (L/SD)
MRSA (AMXMD-SM)
DAAS-VL
AF/SSC-SMS

JAMES R. LEWIS
Chief
Distribution Standard
Systems Branch



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000



DEFENSE LOGISTICS
STANDARD SYSTEMS OFFICE
CAMERON STATION
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304-6100
DLSSO-BM

0 3 NOV 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-1 (6-8 Oct 87)

1. Subject meeting was convened at 0900 hours, 6 October 1987, 6301 Little River Turnpike, Alexandria, VA. A list of attendees is enclosed at attachment 1.
2. The focal point committee discussed Proposed MILSTRIP Change Letter PMCL 477A, Control of Access to DoD Materiel Inventories Required by Defense Contracts. Each paragraph of PMCL 477A was discussed and changes made where applicable. The following is a synopsis of the discussion on this PMCL:
 - a. It was agreed that the procedures for processing and controlling GFM requisitions be included in one chapter of MILSTRIP.
 - b. It was agreed that a method must be developed to identify contractors associated with "other DoD activities". The first two positions of the DoDAAC will be used to identify these other DoD contractors. The DoDAAD System Administrator has agreed to reserve "HG" for assignment as the first two position of the DoDAAC for contractors associated with "other DoD activities". Appendix B2, MILSTRIP, will be changed to reflect this information.
 - c. The committee developed definitive procedures for the submission, processing, and post validation of urgent off-line contractor requisitions.
 - d. The procedures for identifying requisitions that must be processed under GFM controls were clarified.
 - e. The procedures were amended to allow the submission of part number requisitions for GFM.
 - f. The procedures were amended to allow internal transactions for the validation process between the ICP and the MCA when the ICP and the MCA are collocated.

03 NOV 1987

g. The procedures were amended to allow, as an option of the Service/ Agency, the use of a three position Manufacturers Directive Number to identify the requisition to an appropriate contract.

h. The procedures were amended to remove the requirement to always use M&S code F on GFM requisitions.

i. The procedures were amended to require an additional edit on the signal code and fund code to distinguish GFM requisitions from CFM requisitions.

j. The procedures were amended to allow the submission of modifier documents to change the project code, Priority Designator, Required Delivery Date or Advice Code. All other modifications of contractor GFM requisitions are prohibited.

k. The procedures were amended to identify the ICP actions that will be taken in processing the MCA GFM validation response if there was no record of an ICP GFM validation request or there was no record of a valid requisition. The procedures were also amended to describe what actions would be taken if the MCA GFM validation response did not provide a valid advice code.

l. The definition of status code CR was revised to read: "Rejected. Invalid DIC for GFM".

m. The procedures were amended to more clearly state what actions are to be taken by the MCA, during the ICP/MCA validation process, if there was no record of a valid requisition.

n. The definition of status code BF was revised to include its use during the ICP/MCA GFM validation process. If the ICP receives an MCA GFM validation response but has no record of an ICP GFM validation request and no record of a valid requisition, a supply status transaction with status code BF will be generated.

o. The definitions for advice codes 2U and 2V were revised to more clearly reflect their usage.

p. The procedures were amended to include a new status code that will be used to advise the requisitioner that the requisition has been cancelled because the MCA failed to validate the GFM requisition.

3. The focal points were thanked for their participation and advised that an RFID on AMCL or PMCL 477A will be issued. The meeting was adjourned on 8 October 1987.

1 Attachment


PHILIP M. SMITH
DoD MILSTRIP System
Administrator