



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

6301 LITTLE RIVER TURNPIKE, SUITE 210
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22312-5044



DEFENSE LOGISTICS
STANDARD SYSTEMS OFFICE

28 SEP 1988

DLSSO-B

SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-4 (24-26 August 1988)

TO: Commander, U.S. Army Materiel Command
ATTN: AMCSM-MSM
Commander, Naval Supply Systems Command
ATTN: SUP 0323
Commander, Air Force Logistics Command
ATTN: AFLC/MMLSC
Commandant of the Marine Corps
ATTN: LPS-4
Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard
ATTN: G-ELM-2
General Services Administration, Federal Supply Service
ATTN: FCI, Room 500
Commander, Field Command, Defense Nuclear Agency
ATTN: FCLMM
Director, National Security Agency
ATTN: L111
Director, Defense Logistics Agency
ATTN: DLA-OSC
U.S. Transportation Command, Director of Deployment
ATTN: TCJ6-DA

1. The enclosed memorandum for record is forwarded for your information.
2. The action officer for this subject is Philip M. Smith, AUTOVON 284-6062.

JAMES R. LEWIS
Chief
Distribution Standard Systems Division

1 Enc1

cc:
DASD(L)SD
MRSA (AMXMD-SM)
DAAS-VL
AF/SSC-SMSM
DPSC (HON)
DPSC (HJ)
USALOGC (ATCL-SAA)



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

6301 LITTLE RIVER TURNPIKE, SUITE 210
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22312-5044



DEFENSE LOGISTICS
STANDARD SYSTEMS OFFICE

DLSSO-BM

28 SEP 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-4, 24-26 August 1988

1. Subject meeting was convened at 1300 hours, 24 August 1988, 6301 Little River Turnpike, Alexandria, VA. A list of attendees is at enclosure 1.

2. The focal points were reminded of the need to provide copies of their responses to PMCLs and RFIDs to the other Services/Agencies MILSTRIP Focal Points.

3. The following topics were discussed by the committee:

a. TOPIC 1. Joint Proposed MILS Change Letter (PMCLs) 3 (MILSTRIP) and 3 (MILSTRAP), Date Packed/Expiration Date for Subsistence Items.

(1) Discussion: The Service/Agency comments on MILSTRIP PMCL 3 were thoroughly reviewed and discussed. As a result of the review, the committee agreed to change the location of the three digit code from record positions 52, 53, and 70 to record positions 22, 52, and 53. The change will only apply to subsistence transactions generated at the wholesale level. The committee also agreed to include, in the procedures, that Management Denial Code 3 would be used for materiel release denials for which there is no stock for the date packed/expiration date cited on the materiel release order.

(2) Disposition: An RFID will be issued with the changes cited above.

b. TOPIC 2. PMCL 5, Required Delivery Date (RDD) for Subsistence Requisitions.

(1) Discussion: The Service/Agency comments on PMCL 5 were thoroughly reviewed. The DPSC representatives informed the committee that all subsistence requisitions have an RDD entry. This is true for all the Services/Agencies preparing and submitting requisitions for subsistence. Therefore, the S/A would not have to make any programming changes to their computer system to implement this change. The DPSC representatives also stated that if by chance a requisition was received without an RDD, an SDD would be computed and the requisition would be processed. The committee agreed to the following changes to the PMCL and to issue an RFID:

2 8 SEP 1988

DLSSO-BM PAGE 2

SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-4, 24-26 August 1988

a Add the procedure for preparation of subsistence requisitions to chapter 2, paragraph A.9.b., in lieu of adding the procedure as a new paragraph B. in chapter 2.

b Add the words "for subsistence" after the acronym "RDD" in the first sentence, fifth line, of paragraph A.3., chapter 3.

c Substitute the words "figure 3-2" for the word "below" in the second sentence, fourth line, of paragraph C.1., chapter 3.

d Delete any change to the current paragraph A.3.g., chapter 3.

e Add "If blank, compute SDD" as the last sentence to the new entry 4 for data element "Required Delivery Date" in figure 3-1, chapter 3.

(2) Disposition: An RFID will be issued with the changes cited above.

c. TOPIC 3. PMCL 4, Provide 100 percent Shipment Status to the Ship-to Activity Designated by the Signal Code. (Joint Proposed MILS Change Letters (PMCLs) 4 (MILSTRAP) and 4 (MILSTRIP), Expanded Materiel Receipt Acknowledgment Requirements.)

(1) Discussion: The Service/Agency comments on MILSTRIP PMCL 4 were thoroughly reviewed and discussed. As a result of the review and discussion, the Navy withdrew their comments, and the committee agreed to change the proposal as follows and issue an RFID:

a Change chapter 4, paragraph H., subparagraph 2., to require shipment status for exception ship-to addresses to be provided to the activity identified in record positions 30-35 of the requisition.

b Revise the last sentence of the proposed change to chapter 4, paragraph H.2.a., to read: "In addition, DAAS will furnish shipment status to all valid status recipients."

c No changes will be made to the current chapter 4, paragraph M.

d Revise the proposed change to appendix B4, paragraph 2., subparagraph a.(4)(a), to read: "the mandatory provision of shipment status to all valid status recipients."

e Revise the proposed changes to the explanations for M&S Codes Ø and Y so that a new sentence begins after the words "signal code."

(2) Disposition: An RFID will be issued with the changes cited above.

2 8 SEP 1988

DLSSO-BM PAGE 3

SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-4, 24-26 August 1988

d. TOPIC 4. PMCL 6, Assets Sent to Other Services for Repair (IG 87-027). (Joint Proposed MILS Change Letters (PMCLs) 6 (MILSTRIP) and 5 (MILSTRAP), Maintaining Item Accountability for Maintenance Actions.)

(1) Discussion: The committee discussed the staffing results of this proposal. Comments included recommendations to expand procedures to identify the responsible activity for preparing and receiving the DI FTA. The Navy Focal Point expressed difficulty in staffing proposals which impact other commands or higher echelons. Reference was made to the DoD Directive 4000.25 which states, in part, that the focal point office, as designated by the Service or Agency, has responsibility for providing the Service or Agency position on system/program changes and has authority to make decisions for the Service or Agency. Copies of the ASD(P&L) letter, dated 22 January 1988, were provided to the committee for their information or use in obtaining the necessary intra-Service/Agency comments on the proposals. The letter was addressed to the Service Assistant Secretaries informing them of DLSSO's joint proposals covering subject topic. The DoD MILSTRAP System Administrator informed the committee that extensive comments were received on the MILSTRAP proposal. After analyzing the staffing results, the committee decided that detailed procedures covering MILSTRAP should not be placed under MILSTRIP; however, MILSTRIP should cite MILSTRAP, where applicable.

(2) Disposition: The MILSTRIP proposal will be rewritten to identify the preparer and the receiver of DI FTA transactions and will cite MILSTRAP, when necessary, in reference to specific procedures concerning the accountability for maintenance actions. The proposals will be restaffed jointly as MILSTRIP PMCL 6A and MILSTRAP PMCL 5A.

e. TOPIC 5. PMCL 489A, Bar Coded Foreign Military Sales (FMS) Data on DD Form 1348-1A, Issue Release/Receipt Document (IRRD).

(1) Discussion: The Services/Agencies comments on PMCL 489A were thoroughly reviewed and discussed. As a result of the review and discussion, the committee agreed to change the entries for block 26 to be the unit of issue (two positions), quantity (five positions), condition code (one position), unit price (seven positions), and the supplementary address (four positions - the first and last three record positions of the supplementary address from the source document). These will be the entries in block 26 for FMS issues. The first position of the supplementary address is necessary to identify the Service because the case numbers (last three positions of the supplementary address) are duplicated within the Services.

(2) Disposition: An RFID will be issued with the changes cited above.

f. TOPIC 6. PMCL 8, Priority Designator for JCS Project Code.

(1) Discussion: The Service/Agency comments on PMCL 8 were thoroughly reviewed and discussed. In regards to the Marine Corps comments on the priority

28 SEP 1988

DLSSO-BM PAGE 4

SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-4, 24-26 August 1988

precedence of processing JCS project code requisitions, the Marine Corps representative was advised to use the procedure cited in chapter 3, paragraph A.3., to sequence requisitions for processing. The date of the requisition would be the final determining factor on which requisition would be processed first. The committee agreed to the following changes to the proposal and to issue an RFID:

a Change the word "group" to "designator" in the edit criteria for the "project" code or data element in figure 3-1, chapter 3.

b Change the edit criteria for the "priority" code or data element in figure 3-1, chapter 3, to:

1. If expedited handling signal 999 is present in the RDD field and PD is not 01-03, enter PD 03.

2. If an OSD/JCS project code is present in rp 57-59 and PD is not 01-15, enter PD 03.

3. If NMCS/ANMCS indicator (N/E) is present in rp 62 and PD is not 01-15, enter PD 08.

4. If rp 62 is A, S, or X, enter PD 15.

5. For all other conditions, if PD is not 01-15, enter PD 15.

(2) Disposition: An RFID will be issued with the changes cited above.

g. TOPIC 7. PMCL 9, Priority Designator Identification and Requirements.

(1) Discussion. PMCL 9 was staffed in compliance with an OASD (L/SD) Memorandum for Deputy Assistant Inspector General for Auditing, 23 December 1987, subject: Draft Report on the Audit of the Uniform Materiel Movement and Issue Priority System (Project 7SL-015). A DoD IG report recommended that the Priority Designator for ANMCS requisitions be determined under DoD Directive 4410.6 (UMMIPS). The OASD (L/SD) partially concurred in the recommendation in that the priority designator for ANMCS requisitions should be no higher than 04.

The Navy and the Marine Corps did not agree that the priority designator for ANMCS requisitions should be no higher than 04. The Navy and the Marine Corps position is that UMMIPS under the definition of urgency of need designator A allows for priority designator of 01, 02, 03, 07, and 08 for ANMCS requirements.

The other S/A focal points agreed with the Navy and Marine Corps comments.

(2) Disposition: The DoD MILSTRIP System Administrator will advise OASD (L/SD) that MILSTRIP PMCL 9 will be withdrawn for the above cited reasons.

2 8 SEP 1988

DLSSO-BM PAGE 5

SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-4, 24-26 August 1988

h. TOPIC 8. PMCL 12, Transportation Control Number (TCN) Entry for Shipments by Small Package Carriers.

(1) Discussion: The committee reviewed the staffing results of this proposed change which consisted of nine concurrences (one of which contained comments) and two nonconcurrences. The comments and nonconcurrences were resolved and the proposed change was approved based upon the agreed to revisions as follows:

a Identify the source from which to obtain the Standard Carrier Alpha Code (SCAC) Directory.

b Reference the AMCL 138, scheduled for implementation on 1 November 1989, which contains approved changes already made to the TCN entry instructions.

c Revise procedures in paragraph 3. to show the TCN field of rp 60-76 in lieu of 62-76, to change the "daily record pickup number," to read "package identification number," and to clearly identify record positions for each entry.

d Include appendix C36 with revised TCN entry instructions in the approved change.

(2) Disposition: An RFID will be issued incorporating the agreed to changes cited above.

i. TOPIC 9. PMCL 10, Assignment of OSD/JCS Project Codes.

(1) Discussion: The MILSTRIP System Administrator initiated PMCL 10 to clarify MILSTRIP instructions to S/As on how to request OSD/JCS project codes and to identify the office responsible for issuing OSD/JCS project codes. The focal points agreed with PMCL 10 clarification of MILSTRIP procedures. It was also agreed that the sequence of instructions in the MILSTRIP Manual, appendix B13, will be as follows: (1) assignment requests, (2) assignment constraints, and (3) dissemination. The procedures for assignment requests were expanded to include data requirements that are needed from the S/A requestor to assign a project code.

(2) Disposition. An RFID will be issued with the changes cited above.

j. TOPIC 11. PMCL 470A, Requisitioning from Reclamation.

(1) Discussion: The Service/Agency comments on PMCL 470A were thoroughly reviewed and discussed. The MILSTRIP Focal Points were not in agreement with provisions of PMCL 470A. The interface between the proposed procedures/documentation and DoD 4160.21-M, chapter XXII, must be clarified.

(a) The committee developed questions and identified areas that require resolution by the DoD Reclamation Work Group (DRWG):

28 SEP 1988

DLSSO-BM PAGE 6

SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-4, 24-26 August 1988

1 Are DI Codes AØP/AØQ necessary? PMCL 470A assigns DI Codes AØR (for NSN), AØP (for part number), and AØQ (for other). DoD 4160.21-M, chapter XXII, attachment 1, JRA/JRC formats call for a NSN in rp 8-22. Paragraph F.5.b. (last sentence), however, says "a separate JRC will be prepared when different NSNs or manufacturers' part numbers apply to the item in the group."

2 What DI Code should the requisitioner use on reclamation at a DRMO if the item does not have an NSN? DoD 4160.21-M, chapter XXII, paragraph L, states DI AØR will be used.

3 DoD 4160.21-M, chapter XXII, paragraph I, states reclaimed assets will be Condition Code A, F, or R. Condition Code R will be used if reclaiming activity does not have the capability to verify the condition of the reclaimed asset is equal to or better than that specified by the requisitioner. MILSTRIP will require the reclaiming activity to cancel the requisition (status code CB) and identify the correct condition code in the status transaction, if the requested condition code is not available.

4 DoD 4160.21-M, chapter XXII, paragraph G.; Marine Corps requests validation instructions be provided for this paragraph.

5 DoD 4160.21-M, chapter XXII, paragraph J., states requiring activity will reimburse the reclaiming activity for the cost of reclamation. The reclaiming activity may waive reimbursement as appropriate. Question: Are reclamation requisitions free issue or funded? MILSTRIP recommends free issue similar to requisitions from disposal. If reclamation requisitions are funded, RODS must be processed by reclaiming activities.

6 DoD 4160.21-M, chapter XXII, attachment 2, urgency of requirement codes need clarification. What is their relationship to MILSTRIP requisitioning? Do they conflict with UMMIPS priority designators? Paragraph F.6.g. says an R4 requirement of the owning service will not take precedence over an R1, R2, or R3 requirement of the other managing activity. How will the owning service know the urgency of requirement code of the managing activity?

7 What documents are used by the owning service to communicate with the reclaiming activity to determine if an end item is available to establish a reclamation project/program or if the ICP is considering establishing a reclamation project?

8 The reclaiming activity must be able to process cancellations, followups, and modifiers.

(b) The committee agreed to the following changes to the proposal:

1 Require the reclaiming activity to provide an AE8 transaction for each condition code, by quantity available, for requisitions not filled because the requested condition code was not available. The AE8 transaction will

2 8 SEP 1988

DLSSO-BM PAGE 7

SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-4, 24-26 August 1988

contain Status Code CB and the available condition code will be entered in rp 74. If reported condition is acceptable, a new requisition will be submitted.

2 Remove condition codes from MILSTRIP and provide a reference to MILSTRAP.

3 The owning ICP will have the capability to process cancellation, modification, and followup documents against reclamation requisitions.

4 The owning ICP will be required to provide immediate status in response to a reclamation requisition indicating the date by which notification will be made on whether reclamation will or will not take place. The final status will be provided NLT 60 days from the date of the requisition.

5 A new status code will be assigned to indicate the requisition is being processed against a reclamation project. The ESD for release of materiel to the consignee will be contained in rp 70-73 of the status transaction.

6 A complete reclamation requisition format will be defined and made a part of appendix C1 of MILSTRIP.

7 The following will be added to chapter 2:

"RECLAMATION AUTHORIZED AT THE DRMO.

1. Normally, reclamation will be accomplished by a Service reclaiming activity; however, reclamation of limited parts from usable property (such as a carburetor from a vehicle) is authorized at the DRMO subject to the following constraints:

a. A separate requisition is required for each item to be removed. The requisition will contain DI "AOR," "N" in rp 40 of the document number, and the extended value in rp 70-80 (estimated if value unknown).

b. All requests and issues must be controlled by the applicable Military Service Accountable Officer.

c. Parts requested will be limited to those not available on a timely basis in wholesale system stocks but are needed promptly to meet priority requirements (UMMIPS priority 01-08).

d. Removal of parts will be accomplished by the DoD activity requesting the parts to include furnishing all necessary tools and equipment.

e. Reclamation requisitions for component parts to DRMOs must be manual walk-in, hand carried documents."

8 Add "Owning Service ICP" and its explanation to the definitions and terms section.

2 8 SEP 1988

DLSSO-BM PAGE 8
SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-4, 24-26 August 1988

9 Add "Owning Service" before the words "ICP Processing," in chapter 3, paragraph F.

10 Insert "Owning Service" between "the" and "ICP" in chapter 4, paragraph H.9.

11 The format for the reclamation requisition will include a field for the identification of the RI Code of the Owning ICP.

12 The following will be added to chapter 4, paragraph H.9., after the second sentence: "However, all transactions must be in computer readable formats."

(2) Disposition: The DRWG will be invited to attend the next MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting, 4-6 October 1988, to resolve the above questions.

k. TOPIC 11. PMCL 11, Source of Supply (SOS), Federal Supply Classification (FSC), and National Item Identification Number (NIIN) Edit.

(1) Discussion: The committee discussed the staffing results of this proposed change and expressed a desire to have DAAS reinstate this edit. The DAAS discontinued performing the SOS, FSC, and NIIN edit due to outdated information at the beginning of the month because of untimely receipt of trigger actions (SOS updates) from the Defense Logistics Service Center (DLSC) (Defense Integrated Data System (DIDS)). The DLSC has stated that these trigger actions (SOS updates) could be generated to DAAS earlier than on the first of the month, but this would require a Systems Change Request (SCR). The committee approved the proposal after changes were made to figure 3-1 to eliminate references to specific status codes. The committee also requested action be taken to pursue the development of an SCR to the DIDS to have DLSC provide SOS updates to DAAS 4 days prior to the effective date.

(2) Disposition: An RFID will be issued with changes to figure 3-1 to eliminate references to specific status codes. An SCR will be pursued with DLSC (DIDS) to provide trigger actions (SOS updates) to DAAS at least 4 days prior to the effective date. The DAAS will resume performing the SOS, FSC, and NIIN edit upon receipt of timely trigger actions (SOS updates).

l. TOPIC 12. DAAS Return of Rejected Transactions by Narrative Message.

(1) Discussion: DAASO is currently using narrative messages to reject transactions that are not processing through the DAAS. In the past, the receiving communication message center could receive these narrative messages and punch out the message on key punch cards. The communication center no longer has key punch capability and DAASO is not sure what is happening to the narrative messages. In order to ensure their messages are going to the proper activity, DAASO requested permission to create AE9 status transactions and use existing MILSTRIP status codes to reject the incomplete or erroneous transactions. The committee agreed that DAASO should use MILSTRIP status codes and

28 SEP 1988

DLSSO-BM PAGE 9
SUBJECT: MILSTRIP Focal Point Committee Meeting 88-4, 24-26 August 1988

the AE9 status transaction to reject transactions that the DAAS cannot process.

(2) Disposition: DAASO will use AE9 transactions with MILSTRIP status codes to reject transactions that the DAAS cannot process. DAASO will develop the necessary procedures and, if necessary, request the establishment of new status codes if the current codes are not applicable.

4. The focal points were thanked for their participation and the meeting was adjourned on 26 August 1988.

1 Attachment



PHILIP M. SMITH
DoD MILSTRIP System
Administrator