

DLMSO

May 20, 1997

MEMORANDUM FOR: SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Supply  
Process Review Committee (PRC) Meeting, May 5-9, 1997

The attached minutes of the DLMS Supply PRC meeting are forwarded for your information and appropriate action.

PRC members should note that the next meeting is rescheduled for June 16-20, 1997. An agenda will be provided under separate cover.

The DLMSO points of contact are Supply PRC Co-Chairs: Ms. Ellen Hilert, at (703) 767-6117, DSN 427-6117, or e-mail: ellen\_hilert@hq.dla.mil; and Ms. Mary Jane Johnson, DSN 427-6123, (703) 767-6123, or e-mail: maryjane\_johnson@hq.dla.mil.

/s/

JAMES A. JOHNSON  
Director  
Defense Logistics Management  
Standards Office

Attachment

DISTRIBUTION:  
ADUSD(L)MDM  
Supply PRC Representatives and Attendees

DLMSO

May 19, 1997

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Supply  
Process Review Committee (PRC) Meeting, May 5-9, 1997

**Purpose:** The Defense Logistics Management Standards Office (DLMSO) hosted a Supply PRC meeting on May 5-9, 1997, at the Headquarters Complex, Ft. Belvoir, VA. The primary focus of this meeting was DLMS implementation convention (IC) review. Additional discussion topics are noted below. The agenda is shown at Enclosure 1. A list of attendees is shown at Enclosure 2.

**Brief Summary of Discussion:** Ms. Ellen Hilert and Ms. Mary Jane Johnson, Supply PRC Co-Chairs, provided opening remarks and facilitated discussion of agenda items below.

**Review of Prior Meeting Agenda Items:**

a. **Proposed DLMS Change 1, DD Form 1348-1B, Issue Release/Receipt Document, and DD Form 1348-2A, Issue Release/Receipt Document with Address Label.** The Services/Agencies were reminded that they must provide comments to DLMSO. The original due date was March 10, 1996.

b. **PMC 40, Processing Cooperative Logistics Supply Support Arrangement (CLSSA) Requisitions.** DLMSO will release the Approved Change in accordance with the Service/Agency agreements reached at the November PRC meeting.

c. **AMC 45, Defense Program for Redistribution of Assets (DEPRA) Follow-up Timeframes.** This change identifies the DEPRA processing actions when denial status is received during the 30-day period after which the Redistribution Order (RDO) was created and eliminates CB status to the customer by DEPRA when passing the requisition to the ICP/Integrated Material Manager (IMM) for processing. DAASC clarified that the BN status will only be furnished when confirmation of shipment has been received. This will prevent problems associated with deobligating funds prematurely based upon the redistribution order.

d. **DD Form 1348-2, Issue Release/Receipt Document with Address Label.** DLMSO will clarify current MILSTRIP procedures regarding the interchangeability of the DD Form 1348-1A with the DD Form 1348-2 and the authority to use the DD Form 1348-2 without preprinted postage data on the attached address label.

e. **DLMS Baseline.** American National Standards Institute, ANSI X-12, Version 003060 ICs are available on the DLMSO home page at <http://www.dlms.hq.dla.mil>. These are considered the baseline for the DLMS. Modifications resulting from PRC review will be posted to the home page and identified by the date prepared. Mr. Featherstone, LMI, explained that the 8-position revised date structure will not be available until the 4010 release. He further clarified the significance of the "Draft Standard for Trial Use" statement which appears on all DLMS ICs. National standards are approved at 3-5 year intervals (after the trial-use period) and, therefore, are largely out of date by the time of release. DLMS IC will continue to reflect the "draft" status within the ANSI narrative although approved under DLMS.

f. **DLMS Configuration Control.** Based upon Component concern, DLMSO has agreed to return to the Request For Implementation Date (RFID) process. An updated register of DLMS proposed/approved changes was distributed. A listing showing the status of ICs is now available on the DLMSO Home Page. Other enhancements of the home page were discussed including the addition of meeting minutes. Hard-copy minutes will only be mailed upon request by the Component. Routine distribution will be provided by e-mail with a signed copy available on the home page.

**Action: The DLMSO Logistics Data Manager (LDM) will update the DLMS manual to reflect the RFID.**

g. **Service-Unique Requirements Under DLMS.** DLMSO reiterated the need for the Services/Agencies to address requirements for incorporation of new or existing Service-unique data/transaction formats within the DLMS. All proposed changes must be documented and coordinated under the change proposal process.

**Action: The Navy will submit a change proposal for the Cognizance Code. Other Components should address unique internal requirements for possible enhancement of the DLMS.**

h. **Plant Clearance and Automated Redistribution Supply System (PCARSS).** At the March PRC meeting, the Committee

expressed concern that ICs for the PCARSS are being finalized outside the DLMS. Functions similar to those in the DLMS have been mapped independently in contradiction to the DISA/LFWG concept of maintaining a single standard IC for like processes.

**Action: DLMSO administrators will seek additional information.**

i. **Concurrent Identification of the Department of Defense Identification Code (DoDIC) and the National Stock Number (NSN).** The Army will assess the need for identification of the DoDIC for ammunition items in addition to or instead of the National Stock Number (NSN). Current procedures require use of the NSN when known and do not permit inclusion of the DoDIC as secondary information.

**Action: The Army will submit a change proposal if needed.**

j. **Concurrent Identification of Purpose Code and Ownership Code.** Current procedures restrict use to only one of the two data elements per transaction. This perpetuates MILSTRAP procedures under the DLMS.

**Action: The Components will assess requirements and submit a change proposal if needed.**

**May Agenda Items:**

a. **Proposed DLMS Change 10, Identification of Flight Safety Critical Aircraft Parts (FSCAP) In Issue, Release, and Receipt Documents.** This change adds identification of FSCAP to the Defense Turn-In Document/DLMS transaction. In response to DLMSO follow-up action, AF comments have been forwarded and the DLA response is in coordination.

**Action: DLMSO will review Components comments to determine appropriate action.**

b. **Approved DLSS/DLMS Change 9, Validation of F/AD I Activities.** This change established an automated process to validate F/AD I requisitions using a table of authorized activity DoDAACs maintained at the DSDC/Defense Automatic Addressing System Center (DAASC). Unresolved classification issues have delayed implementation. Mr. Trepal, DUSD(L/MDM), reported slow progress in this area. DAASC has estimated the cost of providing a secure environment at both the Dayton and Tracy locations at \$1.5 million with an additional \$.5 million for yearly maintenance. In the interim, the Components (except Navy) have pursued verification of perceived abuse using the DAASC prepared inquiry. The Marine Corps reported that the inquiry reflected

requisitioning related to deployed planes. DLA research found additional (somewhat bizarre) errors in preparation of the cited requisitions and will ensure correction.

**Action: Mr. Trepal will continue to monitor status of security issues and advise the PRC. Results of on-going investigations will be reported at the next meeting.**

**c. Service/Agency Initiatives to Capture DESEX Requisitions.** Requisitions input via DESEX (direct telephone entry) may bypass DASC depending upon Component processing. Should this occur, the transactions would not be included for pipeline performance measurement and would bypass the DASC edit of the priority designator for validation of F/AD assignment. DLA is currently working on a systems change which will provide an image of the DESEX transactions to DASC. Navy routes DESEX requisitions to DASC where they are processed and returned to the ICP. Information regarding Air Force use of DESEX and Army processing (BE9 creation) were not clear at the time of the meeting. Discussion generated additional concerns regarding monitoring of financial obligations resulting from DESEX requisitions.

**Action: The Logistics Metrics Analysis Reporting System (LMARS) Committee Chair, Mr. Jackie Carter, advised the PRC that the LMARS Committee will address this issue as it concerns pipeline measurement.**

**d. Proposed DLMS Change 6, Material Returns Discrepancies.** This change adds a cautionary statement to MILSTRIP/DLMS advising shipping activities that they could be subject to recoupment action for costs incurred by the receiving activity for discrepant or unauthorized (not ICP-directed) returns. After further discussion and input from the MILSBILLS Administrator, the Components concurred with release of the change as revised.

**Action: DLMSO will issue the approved change. Based upon Components suggestion, DLMSO will consider recommending a corresponding change to the DoD 4140.1-R, Material Management Regulation.**

**e. AMCLs 11 (MILSTRIP) and 15 (MILSTRAP), Expanded Materiel Receipt Acknowledgment (MRA) Procedures**

(1) **Management Evaluation.** As written in 1990, AMCL 11 required data collection and submission under MILSTEP and monthly internal management reporting by the ICP. Pipeline performance measurement under the modernized LMARS will be

captured by DAASC eliminating the need for separate Component systems to record and submit the data. The LMARS Committee Chair concurred that LMARS should replace reporting requirements specified in the AMCL. This does not relieve the MILSTRAP Focal Points of the AMCL 11 requirement to assure that a management evaluation program is implemented to monitor and evaluate MRA submission to assure compliance with MRA requirements.

(2) **Pseudo Shipment Status Transaction (ASH)**

**Format and Routing.** DAASC requested review of the AMCL 15 MILSTRIP ASH transaction format to verify proper identification of the customer routing identifier code (RIC) (DAASC vs. ICP). The PRC agreed that the DAASC RIC is inappropriate for the transaction, but noted that systems already in compliance with the AMCL do not need immediate revision. It was agreed that DAASC will overlay the ASH RIC in positions 4-6 with the RIC from positions 67-69; Component system changes will be made when practical. Additionally, DAASC proposed that the ASH transaction be routed in the same manner as the Material Receipt Acknowledgment Followup (DFR), i.e., to the ship-to activity as identified by the signal code (to the ILCO for Security Assistance or back to the ICP if the ship-to is not determined). Under the AMCL procedures, the ASH transaction is also delivered to multiple activities based upon the media and status Code and the distribution code possibly resulting in some confusion. The PRC agreed to this change.

(3) **Financial Interface Requirements.** One goal

of the approved change is to improve interface between supply financial functions and operations, particularly in regards to fast pay and source acceptance contracts and in the area of intransit control. The procedures do not specify how this is to be accomplished. The MILSBILLS Administrator recommended that Components seek specific guidance from financial experts within their organization.

**Action:** DLMSO will issue addendums to AMCLs 11/15 approving above changes for the ASH transaction and reporting requirements for management evaluation. The LMARS Committee will assume responsibility for defining reporting requirements under the LMARS. As a minimum, the report should provide for the data identified in AMCL 11 as necessary for management evaluation of MRA requirements. Components will address financial interfaces internally. The MILSCAP Administrator will be requested to assist the PRC in determining how to pursue requiring use of the ASH transaction by contractors acting as ICPs.

f. **Development of a DoD Standard Weapons Systems Designator Code (WSDC).** The PRC revisited the problems

associated with earlier attempts to implement a standard WSDC and the advantages of doing so under the DLMS. The PRC concurred with the DLMSO recommendation that the PRC pursue development of a change proposal for DLMS implementation only, using an existing set of codes as the baseline. There was discussion about the need for supporting procedures to delineate application of the WSDC possibly in metrics, in maintaining readiness, or in resource allocation. Ms. Margaret Gandy, DLA, explained the DLA WSDC system application to be fielded this summer. Components required additional time to analyze requirements for the WSDC at the component/subassembly level.

**Action:** Components will research requirements and provide feedback to DLMSO by July 2, 1997. Coding structure and desired level of identification should be addressed. If adequate information is provided, DLMSO will offer a strawman proposal at the July PRC meeting. Also at the July meeting, DLA will offer a preview of their new system using either screen prints or a demonstration.

g. **Implementation Convention (IC) Review.** The following ICs were reviewed:

(1) **TS 846 (LS54) Asset Reclassification.** Changes recommended at the January PRC meeting were reviewed. A few additional minor changes were noted.

(2) **TS 856 (LS14) Shipment Status.** Minor changes were recommended and the N1 segment needed additional clarification. **DAASC** will correct their conversion program to accommodate the generation of the shipment status format from the material release confirmation as addressed in the DLMS Manual (Vol II, paragraph 5.7.1.3.1). The **Marine Corps** will evaluate the need for inclusion of the break bulk point and document this on a change proposal if appropriate. **DLA** is requested to verify whether the shipment value for DRMO intransit control has increased from the \$800 indicated in the IC (REF/Code 21).

(3) **TS 846 (LS55) Physical Inventory/Transaction History.** Minor changes were noted.

(4) **TS 846 (LS58) Location Reconciliation.** Based upon DLA's request, this transaction will be modified to indicate that it is submitted to a single manager (no table 2 "TO" address). The **Army** will verify the deletion of the lot/segment number. The document number was added as an enhancement to support individual transaction accountability. To reduce confusion, **DLMSO** will assure that either the DLMS manual or IC

discuss the purpose of the document number. There may be a significant problem with converting this transaction between the DLSS and the DLMS due to the loss of packaging control information. DLA was asked to document their concerns. Should a satisfactory resolution not be found, DLMSO will restrict the use of this transaction for single system use (no conversion).

(5) **TS 947 (LS16) Inventory Adjustment.** The **Air Force** will evaluate opening the qualifier AU, Damaged in Facility, for general use. It is currently restricted to bulk petroleum use. An alternative would be to modify the note for qualifier AJ. **DLA** will evaluate using a second iteration of the material identification information when reporting a reidentification. Change proposals will be submitted as appropriate.

**Action: The Shipment Status IC will be represented to the PRC at a future meeting. The three physical inventory ICs and the asset reclassification IC will be updated as discussed and submitted to the DoD Logistics Functional Work Group (LFWG).**

#### h. **Additional Discussion and Action Items**

##### (1) **Security Assistance Data Requirements.**

Mr. Featherstone suggested that DLMSO reevaluate the requirement to perpetuate numerous data elements now included for Security Assistance information. He indicated that some data elements may be eliminated in favor of adding more specific information about the location to which the material should be shipped.

##### (2) **Hazardous Material Data Requirements.**

Mr. Featherstone suggested that the PRC look into requirements for transmission of hazardous material information. He noted that the LHI segment is available in some transaction formats. Issues for consideration include assessing the benefits of passing data which may be accessible in a database.

##### (3) **Table 1/Table 2 Mapping.**

Mr. Featherstone explained the difficulty associated with processing transactions containing a substantial number of data elements which are subject to override. He indicated that the LFWG asked that the DLMS-to-DLSS conversion data elements (DIC, signal code, fund code, etc.) be removed from table 1. Mr. Brown, representing DAASC, indicated that the DIC in table 1 was intended to facilitate the conversion process and removal would be quite detrimental. Mr. Featherstone explained that the table 1 values should be considered as header data, applicable to the entire transaction. However, DLMSO has typically viewed inclusion of additional data elements in table 1 as appropriate if it is

possible that the same value for that data element might apply to all transactions batched in the transaction set. Considering the possibility of smaller batches, it seems appropriate that more data would remain constant.

**Action: DLMSO must evaluate the mapping policy and provide a response to the LFWG. There may be an impact on ICs already reviewed which contain recently added table 1 override data elements and the "conversion" data elements.**

(4) **Document Number Date Format.** Mr. Featherstone informed the PRC that the LFWG is concerned about the date contained within the document number. As representatives of DISA, they must consider all date formats for compliance with DoD guidance for inclusion of the century.

**Action: DLMSO must prepare a response to the LFWG.**

(5) **Date/Time in Beginning Segments.** All DLMS ICs include a date and time in the beginning segment (or other location in table 1 when not available). The actual entry represents the date and time of transaction creation. Since DAASC may open transaction sets to "rebundle" and route transactions to different activities, it is necessary to overlay the original entry with the DAASC creation date and time. Mr. Featherstone questioned this practice. He indicated that this would destroy accountability and auditability.

**Action: DLMSO will address Mr. Featherstone's concerns.**

(6) **Concept of Operations.** Mr. Featherstone discussed the need for a concept of operations which would clarify implementation issues such as conversion (must all ICs be compatible with conversion?) and transaction flow (must all DLMS transactions pass through DAASC?).

**Action: The PRC will refer this to the Logistics Information Board (LIB) Implementation Workgroup.**

(7) **DLMS Conversion Tables.** The DLMS requires use of tables to convert selected DoD codes into an ANSI equivalent for transmission. This permits DoD systems to continue supporting commonly understood values for unit of issue and mode of shipment and communicate in an ANSI environment. The conversion tables are found in the DLMS manual and (if requested by DLMSO) on the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) database. Mr. Featherstone explained that there is a high

cost associated with using the conversion tables. He suggested that the Components evaluate adoption of the ANSI code values, particularly for mode of shipment. As an alternative to using the ANSI data element, DLMSO and Components should also reconsider using a DoD code list under the LM/LQ segment.

(8) **Outstanding AMCLs.** The PRC reiterated the need to address implementation issues for open AMCLs which were originally scheduled for implementation in 1993 and later, but were put on hold during the CIM single standard system effort. It was agreed that these open AMCLs should be reviewed and new implementation dates established. DLMSO Co-Chairs are open to this. However, with the current emphasis on completion of IC review, there is only a limited amount of time available. Components are requested to review the open AMCLs and suggest priorities. A list of the open MILSTRIP and MILSTRAP AMCLs is attached at Enclosure 3. As a starting point for the open AMCL review, DLMSO will schedule the following DoD Inspector General and General Accounting Office Audit report related AMCL for review at the July PRC meeting: Joint AMCLs 12 (MILSTRAP) and 43 (MILSTRIP), Maintaining Accountability During Maintenance actions.

(9) **DLMS Enhancements.** The Committee requested a list of enhancements approved by the MODELS Functional Work Group for inclusion under DLMS. They questioned whether adequate procedures for enhancements have been provided.

(10) **Part Numbered Items.** The **Air Force** will research the requirement for mandatory identification of the manufacturer (by CAGE Code) when reporting on part numbered material.

(11) **Locally Assigned Control Number.** PRC members suggested that there may be a requirement to pass a locally assigned number to identify material on physical inventory transactions. Reporting inventory of captured weapons would be one potential application.

**Action: The Army will address this concern and prepare a change proposal if appropriate.**

h. **Future Supply PRC Meetings.** The next PRC meeting has been rescheduled for **June 16-20, 1997.** This meeting will address discrepancy/deficiency reporting under DLMS. Additional meetings are planned for **July 14-18** and **September 8-12, 1997.** In preparation for the July meeting, Components should review future requirements for support from the Defense Program for Redistribution of Assets (DEPRA).

/s/

ELLEN HILERT  
Supply PRC Co-Chair

/s/

MARY JANE JOHNSON  
Supply PRC Co-Chair

/s/

DON COLLINS  
DLMSO Action Officer

APPROVE: /s/  
JAMES A. JOHNSON \_\_\_\_\_  
Director, DLMSO

Enclosures



Document Name:        may\_prc (PRC MOM)  
                          may\_prcc (cover letter forwarding MFR)  
 File:                    q: \work\_in  
 Typed By:                ESH  
 Action Officer/  
   prepared by:         Hilert  
 Date:                    May 12, 1997

Coordination:         Admin \_\_\_\_\_  
                          Collins \_\_\_\_\_ (sign)  
                          Strong \_\_\_\_\_  
                          Carter \_\_\_\_\_  
                          MJ Johnson \_\_\_\_\_ (sign)  
                          Hilert \_\_\_\_\_ (sign)

BCC: Hilert (3 copies)  
       MJ Johnson/Savage/Collins/  
       Pipan  
       Carter

SUPPLY PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING

HOSTED BY THE  
 DEFENSE LOGISTICS MANAGEMENT STANDARDS OFFICE (DLMSO)  
 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN ROAD ROOM 1655  
 FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-6221

MAY MEETING AGENDA  
 CONFERENCE ROOM 1905 EXCEPT AS NOTED

<b>MAY 5, 1997 BEGINNING 1300</b>		
1.	<i>WELCOME/OPENING REMARKS/INTRODUCTIONS</i>	ELLEN HILERT MARY JANE JOHNSON MELLA SAVÁGE
2	<i>REVIEW ACTION ITEMS FROM MARCH MEETING</i>	ALL
3.	<i>PROPOSED DLMS CHANGE 10, FSCAP IN ISSUE, RELEASE, AND RECEIPT DOCUMENTS, STATUS</i>	MELLA SAVÁGE
4.	<i>FORCE OR ACTIVITY DESIGNATOR (F/AD) REVIEW</i>	ELLEN HILERT
5.	<i>SERVICE/AGENCY INITIATIVES TO CAPTURE DESEX REQUISITIONS FOR DAASC HISTORY UPDATE</i>	ELLEN HILERT MELLA SAVÁGE TERRY TREPAL
<b>MAY 6, 1997 BEGINNING 0815</b>		
<b>*** TUESDAY MORNING SESSION ONLY HELD IN ROOM 1946 ***</b>		
6.	<i>PROPOSED DLMS CHANGE 6, MATERIAL RETURNS DISCREPANCIES, STATUS</i>	ELLEN HILERT
7.	<i>AMCLS 11/15, MATERIAL RECEIPT ACKNOWLEDGMENT, FINANCIAL INTERFACE</i>	DENNIS THOMAS
8.	<i>STANDARD WEAPON SYSTEMS CODE DEVELOPMENT</i>	TBD
<b>MAY 7, 1997 BEGINNING 0815</b>		

9.	<i>TS 511 (LS50) REQUISITION MODIFICATION (REVIEW CHANGES)</i>	MELLA SAVÁGE
10.	<i>TS 517 (LS18) MATERIAL OBLIGATION VALIDATION (REVIEW LFWG COMMENTS)</i>	MELLA SAVÁGE
11.	<i>TS 517 (LS45) GOVERNMENT FURNISHED MATERIAL VALIDATION (REVIEW LFWG COMMENTS)</i>	MELLA SAVÁGE
12.	<i>TS 856 (LS14) SHIPMENT STATUS (NEW FOR PRC/PASSED LFWG)</i>	MELLA SAVÁGE
13.	<i>TS 846 (LS54) ASSET RECLASSIFICATION (REVIEW CHANGES)</i>	DON COLLINS
MAY 8, 1997 BEGINNING 0815		
14.	<i>TS 846 (LS55) PHYSICAL INVENTORY/ TRANSACTION HISTORY (NEW)</i>	DON COLLINS
15.	<i>TS 846 (LS58) LOCATION RECONCILIATION (NEW)</i>	DON COLLINS
16.	<i>TS 947 (LS16) INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT (NEW)</i>	DON COLLINS
MAY 9, 1997 BEGINNING 0815		
17.	<i>TS 846 (LS60) ASSET STATUS INQUIRY/REPORT (NEW)</i>	MARY JANE JOHNSON
18.	<i>WRAP-UP, RECAP, AND FUTURE PLANNING</i>	ADMINISTRATORS

**DEFENSE LOGISTICS MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (DLMS)  
SUPPLY PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEE (PRC) MEETING**

**ATTENDANCE ROSTER  
MAY 1997  
CONFERENCE ROOM 1905**

NAME	OFFICE SYMBOL	VOICE TELEPHONE	FACSIMILE	E-MAIL ADDRESS	DAYS IN ATTENDANCE				
		DSN COMMERCIAL	DSN COMMERCIAL		5	6	7	8	9
Ellen Hilert Co-Chair, Supply PRC	DLMSO	427-6117 (703)767-6117	427-6162 (703)767-6162	ellen_hilert@hq.dla.mil					
Mary Jane Johnson Co-Chair, Supply PRC	DLMSO	427-6123 (703)767-6123	427-6162 (703)767-6162	maryjane_johnson@hq.dla.mil					
Mella Saváge DoD MILSTRIP System Administrator	DLMSO	427-6127 (703)767-6127	427-6162 (703)767-6162	vermella_savage@hq.dla.mil					
Don Collins	DLMSO	427-6122 (703)767-6122	427-6162 (703)767-6162	don_collins@hq.dla.mil					
Al Henderson	DLMSO	427-6114 (703)767-6114	427-6162 (703)767-6162	alray_henderson@hq.dla.mil					
Jackie Carter	DLMSO	427-6105 (703)767-6105	427-6162 (703)767-6162	jackie_carter@hq.dla.mil					
Dennis Thomas	DLMSO	427-6128 (703)767-6128	427-6162 (703)767-6162	dennis_thomas@hq.dla.mil					
Charles Strong	DLMSO	427-6154 (703)767-6154	427-6162 (703)767-6162	charles_strong@hq.dla.mil					

NAME	OFFICE SYMBOL	VOICE TELEPHONE	FACSIMILE	E-MAIL ADDRESS	DAYS IN ATTENDANCE				
		DSN COMMERCIAL	DSN COMMERCIAL		5	6	7	8	9
<b>ARMY</b>									
John Milliken	HQ AMC AMCLG-SM	767-8278 (703)617-8278	767-5094 (703)617-5094	jmilliken@hq.amc.army.mil					
CW3 Sterling M. Hunt	ATCL-SAL Combined Arms Support Command	DSN: 687-2570 (804) 734-257	687-1198	hunts@lee-dsn1.army.mil					
Randy Stalsberg	DALO-SMP	(703)614-6750 224-6750	(703)614-6753 224-6753	stalsrl@hqda.army.mil					
Sharon Dunfrund	DA DCSLOG DALO-SMP	(703)614-6753 224-6753	(703)697-9671 227-9671	dunfrsa@hq.da.army.mil	Not in attendance.				
Vicki Laney	LAIISO	645-7167 (205)955-7167	(205)955-8873	laney-vm@redstone.army.mil					
John Mays	LAIISO	645-7170 (205)7170	(205)955-8873	mays-jh@redstone.army.mil					
Keith Mattison	ATCOM	693-5297 (314)263-5297	693-5258 (314)263-5258	kmattiso@stl.army.mil					
Linda Kil	LOGSA AMXLS-MD	(205)955-7218 645-7218	(205)955-6931 645-6931	lkil@logsa.army.mil	Not in attendance.				
LTC John S. Smith	HQ DA DCSLOG	(703) 614-6459 224-6459		smithjs@hqda.army.mil	Not in attendance.				
Hector Guiot	HQ DA DCSLOG	(703) 614-6457 224-6457		guiothf@hqda.army.mil	Not in attendance.				

NAME	OFFICE SYMBOL	VOICE TELEPHONE	FACSIMILE	E-MAIL ADDRESS	DAYS IN ATTENDANCE				
		DSN COMMERCIAL	DSN COMMERCIAL		5	6	7	8	9
<b>NAVY</b>									
Ross Burton	NAVICPMECH	430-7016 (717)790-7016	430-1479 (717)790-1479	ross_burton@icpmech.navy.mil					
Phil Fiskett	NAVSUP SUP-4113A	430-3436 (717)790-3436	430-7045 (717)790-7045	philip_fiskett@navsup.navy.mil					
Stan Spolowich	NAVICP P761	DSN 442-5117	DSN 442-0333 (215)697-0333	stanley_spolowich@icpphil.navy.mil	Not in attendance.				
<b>AIR FORCE</b>									
Bobbi Ziolk	HQ AFMC/ LGIM	787-6335 (937)257-6335	986-1186 (937)257-8904	bziolk@wpgate1.wpafb.af.mil					
Elise Gabbard	USMC	(703)696-1052		gabbarde@mqg-smtp3.usmc.mil	Not in attendance.				
Walt Wilsey Lt Col	AF/ILSP	(703)697-5980 227-5980		wilseyw@afsync.hq.af.mil	Not in attendance.				
Pat Cronin	HQ USAF/ILSP	225-2409 (703)695-2409	(703)614-7570	cronin@af.pentagon.mil					
<b>MARINE CORPS</b>									
Cynthia Chavis	USMC LPS-1	426-0907 (703)696-0907	426-2707 (703)426-2707	chavisc@mqg-smtp3.usmc.mil					
Maj Andy Starr	HQMC I&L LPP-2	(703)696-1051	(703)696-1079	starr@mqg.smtp3.usmc.mil					
MGySgt Max Wix	LPP-2 I&L HQMC	(703)696- 1051/52 426-1051/52	(703)696-1079	wixm@mqg.smtp3.usmc.mil					

NAME	OFFICE SYMBOL	VOICE TELEPHONE	FACSIMILE	E-MAIL ADDRESS	DAYS IN ATTENDANCE				
		DSN COMMERCIAL	DSN COMMERCIAL		5	6	7	8	9
<b>DLA</b>									
Bob Vitko	HQ DLA MMLSI	427-1601 (703)767-1601	427-2528 (703)767-2528	robert_vitko@hq.dla.mil					
Brenda K. Meadows	HQ DLA MMLSI	427-1606 (703)767-1606	427-2528 (703)767-2528	brenda_meadows@hq.dla.mil					
Mary Day	DLA-MMLSI	(703)767-2535 427-2535	(703)767-2528 427-2528	mary_day @hq.dla.mil					
Linda Pavlik	DLA-MMLSI	(703)767-2536 427-2536	(703)767-2528 427-2528	linda_pavlik@hq.dla.mil					
Margaret Gandy	DLA-MMLR	(703)767-1515 427-1515	(703)767-1516 427-1516	margaret_gandy@hq.dla.mil					
<b>OTHER</b>									
Marcia Crockett	GSA FSS FCSI	(703)305-7551	(703)305-7083	marcia.crockett@gsa.gov					
Dave Brown	DAASC DBTCI	(937)656-3828 986-3828	(937)656-3900 986-3900	dbrown@daas.dla.mil					
Terry Trepal	OUSD (A&T) L/MDM	(703)697-4475 227-4475	(703)697-9738	ttrepal@acq.osd.mil					
Joe Cook	JLSC-MMA	(513)255-6351 785-6351	785-7606	cookj@jlsc.wpafb.af.mil					
Cathy Pastore	FAA AFZ-500	(202)267-9932	(202)267-5753	cpastoremail.hq.faa.gov					
Craig Lockard	DFSC-DB	(703)767-9664		clockard@dfsc.dla.mil	Not in attendance.				

NAME	OFFICE SYMBOL	VOICE TELEPHONE	FACSIMILE	E-MAIL ADDRESS	DAYS IN ATTENDANCE				
		DSN COMMERCIAL	DSN COMMERCIAL		5	6	7	8	9
Sherry Larkin	USCG G-SLP	(202)267-2601	(202)267-4516	slarkin@comdt.uscg.mil					
Harry Featherstone	LMI	(703)917-7210	(703)917-7518	hfeather@lmi.org					

## OUTSTANDING MILSTRAP AMCLs

<u>AMCL NUMBER</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>ORIGINAL IMPLEMENTATION DATE</u>
3	Supply Condition Code W (Warranty)	Nov 93
5	Date packed/expiration date for subsistence items	Nov 93
7	Expanded CLPSC to differentiate between FMSO II and FMSO I	Nov 93 (Joint with MILSTRIP AMCL 22)
8A	Revised procedures for physical inventory control	Nov 94
9	Provides for DoD asset accountability for returns of GSA managed items which were not due-in	Nov 94
10	Provides for inter-Component use of Supply Condition Code Q as revised and assigns management codes to identify materiel for mutilation by DRMOs	Nov 94 (Joint w/ MILSTRIP 34)
12	Maintaining accountability during maintenance actions	Nov 95 (Joint w/MILSTRIP 43)
13	Partial reversal of selected MILSTRAP transactions	Nov 94
14	Revised asset status reporting and logistics asset support estimate procedures in support of DoD TAV	
49A	Provides for automated followup and reconciliation of dues-in between the losing and gaining inventory managers during logistics reassignments	May 89

## OUTSTANDING MILSTRIP AMCLs

AMCL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION/SUBJECT OF CHANGE	ORIGINAL IMPLEMENTATION DATE
154	Modified FMS requisition and billing procedures.	1 Nov 93
6	Modified MRP reporting timeframes.	1 May 93
13	Identified date packed/expiration date for subsistence items.	1 Nov 93
22	Provided identification to differentiate between FMSO I and FMSO II requisitions.	1 Nov 93 (Joint w/ MILSTRAP 7)
23A	Established new advice codes to indicate materiel with no less than 75% shelf life remaining can be shipped.	1 Nov 93
26	Established a single RI for excess reports transmitted to GSA.	1 Nov 93
29	Clarified the effective date, cutoff date and action to be taken on mass cancellation request.	1 May 94
32	Required mandatory entry of controlled inventory item code and shelf-life code on the DD Form 1348-1A on issues from stock.	1 Nov 93
34	Prescribed the use of management codes to identify materiel which must be mutilated by DRMOs.	1 Nov 94 (Joint w/ MILSTRAP 10)
35	Required the ICP to use Reject Status Code SE when an FTM has an invalid NSN.	1 Nov 95
36	Revised Status Code D8 to explain reason for rejection and process for resubmission of FMS requisitions.	1 Nov 95
39	Established procedures for verifying excessive quantity requisitions.	1 Nov 94
40	Applied the MOV procedures to requisitions in pre-award procurement status.	1 May 94
41	Authorized DAAS to reject International Logistics requisitions with invalid ship-to and mail-to addresses in the MAPAD.	1 Nov 94
43	Used the MRP automatic returns procedures for reporting assets shipped to repair facilities of another service.	1 Nov 95 (Joint w/ MILSTRAP 12)
44	Expanded the explanation of Demand Code "O" and revised the format of the DI Code A4_ to identify the demand code.	1 Nov 95